



# WILLS AND ESTATE PLANNING


---

## MATERIALS ON WILLS AND SUCCESSION TO PROPERTY

1994-95

Professor R. E. Scane

Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto



# WILLS AND ESTATE PLANNING


---

MATERIALS  
ON  
WILLS AND SUCCESSION TO PROPERTY

1994-95

Professor R. E. Scane

Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2018 with funding from  
University of Toronto

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## SECTION A: CONTROLS ON THE RIGHT OF DISPOSITION OF PROPERTY ON DEATH

### Part 1: Rights and Elections of Surviving "Spouses" on Death of a "Spouse" regarding "Net Family Property"

Family Law Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. F.3, ss. 1, 2(10), 4-7, 14-16.	A.1.1
<u>Rondberg Estate v. Rondberg Estate</u> , (1989), 70 O.R. (2d) 146.	A.1.6

### Part 2: Protection of Dependants

(A) <i>The Succession Law Reform Act</i>	
Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. S.26, ss.1(1-3), 57-79.	A.2.1
(B) <i>The Applicant</i>	
Family Law Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. F.3, ss. 1(1), 29-32.	A.2.7
<u>Re Cooper</u> , (1980), 30 O.R. (2d) 113.	A.2.8
<u>Re Mealey and Broadbent</u> , (1984), 47 O.R. (2d) 761	A.2.12
(C) <i>The Nature and Extent of the Relief Granted</i>	
<u>Re Davies and Davies</u> , (1979), 27 O.R. (2d) 98.	A.2.13
<u>McSween v. McSween Estate</u> , (1985), 21 E.T.R. 195.	A.2.18
<u>Re Dentinger</u> , (1982), 128 D.L.R. (3d) 613.	A.2.27
(D) <i>Contracts and the Legislation</i>	
<u>Schaefer v. Schuhmann</u> , [1972] A.C. 572 (P.C.)	A.2.35
(E) <i>The Assets Available to Satisfy an Award</i>	
<u>Moore v. Hughes</u> , (1981), 37 O.R. (2d) 785.	A.2.45
<u>Re Urquhart Estate</u> , (1990), 74 O.R. (2d) 42.	A.2.50
<u>Re Urquhart Estate</u> , (1991), 3 O.R. (3d) 699.	A.2.53
[Note: <u>Barton v. Barton Estate</u> (1991), 42 E.T.R. 213]	A.2.54
<u>Dunn v. Dunn Estate</u> , (1992), 8 O.R. (3d) 95	A.2.55
<u>Dunn v. Dunn Estate</u> , (1993), 12 O.R. (3d) 601 (Divisional Court)	A.2.59

## SECTION B: INTESTATE SUCCESSION IN ONTARIO

### Part 1: Introduction

Devolution upon the Personal Representative	B.1.1
Estates Administration Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. E.22, s. 2	B.1.2

### Part 2: Distribution of Property and Intestacy: Deaths Before March 31, 1978

(A) <i>The Spouse</i>	B.2.1
(i) Wife - Preferential Share	B.2.1
(ii) Husband - Preferential Share	B.2.2
(iii) No Preferential Share where Deceased	
Survived by Child of Former Marriage	B.2.3
(iv) Distributive Share of Wife	B.2.3
- "Legal Representatives"	B.2.4
- "per Stirpes" distributions	B.2.5
(v) Distributive Share of Husband	B.2.7
(vi) Effect of Dower on Share of Wife	B.2.8
(vii) Effect of Curtesy on Share of Husband	B.2.10
(B) <i>The Lineal Descendants</i>	B.2.11
- "Advancements" to Children	B.2.11
(C) <i>Lineal Ascendants and Collaterals</i>	B.2.12
- Counting Degrees of Relationship	B.2.12
- "Representation"	B.2.14
- "per capita" distributions	B.2.18
(D) <i>Illegitimate Children</i>	
The Legitimacy Act, R.S.O. 1970, c. 242	B.2.20
(E) <i>Where No Next of Kin Can be Ascertained</i>	B.2.22
<i>Appendix to Part 2:</i>	
- A Short Note on "Curtesy" and "Dower"	B.2.23

### Part 3: Distribution of Property on Intestacy: Deaths on and After March 31, 1978

(A)	<i>The Succession Law Reform Act</i>	B.3.1
	The Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. S. 26, ss. 44-49	B.3.1
(B)	<i>Spouses</i>	B.3.2
(C)	<i>Children and More Remote Issue</i>	B.3.4
(D)	<i>Ascendants and Collaterals</i>	B.3.6
(E)	<i>Children Born Out of Wedlock</i>	B.3.9
(i)	<i>Abolition of "Illegitimacy"</i>	B.3.9
	Children's Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C. 12, ss. 1-2	B.3.10
(ii)	<i>Enquiries</i>	B.3.12
	Estates Administration Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. E. 22, s. 24	B.3.13
(iii)	<i>Proof</i>	B.3.14
	Children's Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C. 12, ss. 3-10, 12.	B.3.14
(F)	<i>Adopted Children</i>	B.3.17
	Child and Family Services Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.11, ss. 158-159	B.3.17

## SECTION C: WILLS - THE MAKING AND REVOCATION OF TESTAMENTARY DOCUMENTS

### Part 1: General

(A)	<i>Succession Law Reform Act</i>	
(i)	General Definitions	
	Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. S. 26, s. 1.	C.1.1
(ii)	What May Be Given by Will	
	Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. S. 26, s. 2.	C.1.2
(iii)	Applicability of Part 1 of the Act	
	Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O., 1990, c. S. 26, s. 43.	C.1.2

(B) *The Testamentary Act*

<u>Re MacInnes</u> , [1935] S.C.R. 200.	C.1.3
<u>Anderson v. Patton</u> , [1948] 1 D.L.R. 848 (Alta. S. C.)	C.1.6
<u>Anderson v. Patton</u> [1948] 2 D.L.R. 202 (Alta. C. A.)	C.1.10

**Part 2: Testamentary Capacity, Undue Influence, and Fraud**(A) *Age*

Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. S. 26, s. 8.	C.2.1
---	-------

(B) *The "Sound, Disposing Mind"*

<u>Banks v. Goodfellow</u> , (1870), L.R. 5 Q.B.D. 549.	C.2.1
<u>Leger v. Poirier</u> [1944] S.C.R. 152.	C.2.10
<u>Re Davis</u> [1963] 2. O.R. 666.	C.2.15
<u>Parker v. Felgate</u> , {1883}, 8 P.D. 171.	C.2.24
<u>O'Neil v. The Royal Trust Company</u> [1946] S.C.R. 623.	C.2.26

(C) *Onus of Proof as to Testamentary Capacity*

<u>Barry v. Butlin</u> (1838), 2 Moo. P.C. 480, 12 E.R. 1089 (P.C.)	C.2.33
---	--------

(D) *Undue Influence, Fraud and "Suspicious Circumstances"*

<u>Wingrove v. Wingrove</u> (1885), 11 P.D. 81.	C.2.35
<u>Craig v. Lamoureux</u> [1920] A.C. 349 (P.C.)	C.2.36
<u>Crompton v. Williams</u> [1938] O.R. 543.	C.2.41
<u>MacGregor v. Ryan</u> (1965), 53 D.L.R. (2d) 126.	C.2.64
<u>Eady v. Waring</u> (1974) 2 O.R. (2d) 627	C.2.71
<u>Wintle v. Nye</u> [1959] 1 W.L.R. 284.	C.2.78
<u>Russell v. Fraser</u> (1981), 118 D.L.R. (3d) 733	C.2.78
<u>Re Worrell</u> [1970] 1 O.R. 184.	C.2.96
Cory, "A Whirl with Re Worrell", (1971) 5 LSUC Gazette 274.	C.2.101



### Part 3: Making of a Will - Formal Requirements

#### (A) *Conflict of Laws*

##### (i) The Succession Law Reform Act

Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, C. S. 26, ss. 34-41. C.3.1

##### (ii) The "International Will"

Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. S. 26, s. 42. C.3.2

#### (B) *Special Situations*

Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. S. 26, s. 5. C.3.6

#### (C) *"Formal" Wills*

Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. S. 26, ss. 3, 4, 7. C.3.7

##### (i) "In Writing"

Murray v. Haylow, (1927), 60 O.L.R. 629 C.3.8

##### (ii) Signed at End "by the testator or by some other person in his or her presence and by his or her direction"

Re White [1948] 1 D.L.R. 572. C.3.9

Re Deeley and Green (1929), 64 O.L.R. 535. C.3.13

##### (iii) Attestation

Chesline v. Hermiston (1928), 62 O.L.R. 575. C.3.14

Smith v. Smith (1866), 1 P. & D. 143. C.3.16

Re Gunstan (1882), 7 P.D. 102. C.3.17

Brown v. Skirrow [1902] P. 3. C.3.22

Re Chalcroft [1948] P. 222. C.3.24

##### (iv) Witnesses

Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. S. 26, ss. 11-14. C.3.31

Re Trotter [1899] 1 Ch. 764. C.3.32

Thorpe v. Bestwick (1881), 6 Q.B.D. 311. C.3.34

Re Ray's Will Trusts [1936] 1 Ch. 521. C.3.35

(D) *Holograph Wills*

Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. S. 26, ss. 6, 7.	C.2.37
<u>Bennett v. Gray</u> [1958] S.C.R. 392.	C.2.38
<u>Re Kinahan</u> (1981), 9 E.T.R. 392.	C.3.41
<u>Re Austin</u> (1967), 61 D.L.R. (2d) 582.	C.3.43
<u>Re Philip</u> (1979), 100 D.L.R. (2d) 582.	C.3.48
<u>Re Forest</u> (1979), 5 E.T.R. 144.	C.3.52
<u>Re Forest</u> (1981), 8 E.T.R. 232	C.3.57

(E) *Incorporation by Reference*

<u>In the Goods of Smart</u> [1902] P. 238.	C.3.60
<u>Re Mihalopoulos</u> (1956), 5 D.L.R. (2d) 628.	C.3.62
<u>Re Coate</u> (1987) 26 E.T.R. 161.	

**Part 4: Revocation or Alteration of Wills**(A) *General*

Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. s. 26, ss. 15-17(i)	C.4.1
--	-------

(B) *Revocation by Operation of Law*

## (i) Subsequent Marriage

<u>Re Langston</u> [1953] P. 100.	C.4.2
<u>Re Pluto</u> (1969), 6 D.L.R. (3d) 541.	C.4.4
<u>Re Coleman</u> [1976] Ch. 1.	C.4.6
<u>Layer v. Burns Philp Trustee Co. Ltd.</u> , (1986), 6 N.S.W.L.R. 60	C.4.11

## (ii) Wills Made in Exercise of a Power of Appointment

<u>Re Paul</u> [1921] 2 Ch. 1.	C.4.16
<u>Re Gilligan</u> [1949] 2 All E.R. 401 (P.D.A.D.)	C.4.18

(iii) *Divorce or Annulment*

<u>Re Majetka</u> (1984), 8 D.L.R. (4th) 481	C.4.20
<u>Page Estate v. Sachs</u> (1993), 12 O.R. (3d) 371.	C.4.23

(C) *Revocation by Act of the Testator*

## (i) By Subsequent Testamentary Document: Express or Implied Revocation

Re Davies [1928] 1 Ch. 24 C.4.26Re Robinson [1930] 2 Ch. 332 C.4.29Re Bryan [1907] p. 125 C.4.31

## (ii) By Physical Act

Cheese v. Lovejoy (1887), L.R. 2 P.D. 251 C.4.34Leonard V. Leonard [1902] P.243 C.4.35Re Kruschel Estate (1991), 40 E.T.R. 129 C.4.37Gill v. Gill [1909] P.157 C.4.41

## (iii) By Alteration to the Will Document

Succession Law Reform Act, R.S. 1990, c.S.26, s.18 C.4.42

Re Itter [1950] P.130 C.4.43(D) *Revocation by Act of the Testator: Conditional Revocation  
(Including Herein the Revival of Previously Revoked Wills)*Giles v. Warren (1872), L.R. 2 P. & D.401. C.4.45Re Southerden [1925] P.177 C.4.46Re Sorenson (1982), 10 E.T.R. 282 C.4.47Dixon v. Treasury Solicitor [1905] P.42. C.4.52Re Service [1964] 1 O.R. 197 C.4.54

Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.S.26, s.19 C.4.57

Re Hodgkinson [1893] P.339 (C.A.) C.4.58Re Ott [1972] 2 O.R.5 C.4.59Re Jones [1976] 1 Ch. 201 C.4.62**Part 5: Curative Statutory Provisions**Re Langseth Estate (1990), 39, E.T.R. 217 C.5.1Re Bunn Estate (1992), 45 E.T.R.254 C.5.12

## Part 6: Correction of Mistakes in the Will: The Probate Jurisdiction

### (A) *The Common Law Jurisdiction*

<u>Guardhouse v. Blackburn</u> (1866), L.R. 1 P. & D. 109.	C.6.0
<u>Re Horrocks</u> [1939] P. 198.	C.6.5
<u>Re Doner</u> (1931), 40 O.W.N. 120.	C.6.10
<u>Guardian, Trust, and Executors Co. of New Zealand, Ltd. v. Inwood</u> [1946] N.Z.L.R. 614	C.6.11
<u>Re Brander</u> [1952] 4 D.L.R. 688	C.6.16
<u>Re Morris</u> [1971] P. 62.	C.6.17
<u>Re Revnette-James</u> [1976] 1 W.L.R. 161.	C.6.23
<u>Re Phelan</u> [1972] Fam. 33.	C.6.26
<u>Re Tait</u> [1957] V.R. 405	C.6.27

### (B) *A Statutory Remedy*

Administration of Justice Act 1982 (U.K.), 1982, c. 53, s. 20.	C.6.33
Note: <u>Wordingham v. Royal Exchange Trust Co. Ltd.</u>	C.6.33

### (C) *The Jurisdiction of the Court of Construction Compared*

<u>Re Craig</u> (1977), 14 O.R. (2d) 589 (High Court of Justice)	C.6.34
<u>Re Craig</u> (1978), 42 O.R. (2d) 567 (Court of Appeal).	C.6.42

## SECTION D: THE CONSTRUCTION OF VALID TESTAMENTARY DOCUMENTS

### Part 1: General Principles

<u>Perrin v. Morgan</u> [1943] A.C. 399.	D.1.1
<u>Re Price</u> [1955] O.W.N. 18.	D.1.6
<u>Re Helliwell</u> [1916] 2 Ch. 580	D.1.8
<u>Re Cook</u> [1948] 1 Ch. 213.	D.1.10

## Part 2: The Testator's Armchair

<u>Higgins v. Dawson</u> [1902] A.C. 1.	D.2.1
<u>The National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children v. The Scottish National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children</u> [1915] A.C. 207.	D.2.6
<u>Re Glassington</u> [1906] 2 Ch. 305.	D.2.8
<u>Re Ofner</u> [1909] 1 Ch. 60.	D.2.10
<u>Re Smalley</u> [1929] 2 Ch. 113.	D.2.14
<u>Re Herlichka</u> [1969] 1 O.R. 724.	D.2.17
<u>Re Van Lessen</u> [1955] 1 W.L.R. 1326	D.2.19
<u>Re Jeffery</u> [1914] 1 Ch. 375.	D.2.21
<u>Re Ottewell</u> (1970), 9 D.L.R. (3d) 314.	D.2.22
<u>Haidl v. Sacher</u> (1978), 2 E.T.R. 288 (Saskatchewan Q.B.)	D.2.24
<u>Haidl v. Sacher</u> (1980), 106 D.L.R. (3d) 360 (Saskatchewan Court of Appeal)	D.2.26
<u>Hickey v. Grieg</u> (1987), 27 E.T.R. 17	D.2.30

## Part 3: Direct Evidence of Intention

<u>Doe d. Gord v. Needs</u> (1836), 2 M. & W. 129; 150 E.R. 698.	D.3.1
<u>Doe d. Simon Hiscocks v. John Hiscocks</u> (1839), 5 M. & W. 363; 151 E.R. 154.	D.3.2
<u>Re Gray</u> [1934] O.W.N. 17.	D.3.3
<u>Re Ray</u> [1916] 1 Ch. 461.	D.3.5
Administration of Justice Act, 1982 (U.K.), 1982, c. 53, s. 21.	D.3.7
<u>Re Williams</u> [1985] 1 W.L.R. 905.	D.3.8

## Part 4: Problems as to Nature of Property Disposed of By the Will

### (A) *The Classification of Legacies, and the Consequences Thereof: Ademption and Abatement*

Note: Problems Relating to the Disposition of Property Described in the Will	D.4.1
<u>Re Willcocks</u> [1921] 2 Ch. 327.	D.4.4
<u>Re Millar</u> (1927), 60 O.L.R. 434.	D.4.5

	<u>Page v. Leapingwell</u> (1812), 18 Ves. Jn. 463, 34, E.R. 392.	D.4.7
	<u>Re Webster</u> [1937] 1 All E.R. 602	D.4.9
	<u>Re Culbertson</u> (1967), 62 D.L.R. (2d) 134 (Saskatchewan Court of Appeal)	D.4.11
	<u>Re Hunter</u> (1975), 8 O.R. (2d) 399.	D.4.13
	Mental Health Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. M. 7, s. 64.	D.4.15
	Mental Incompetency Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. M. 9, s. 18(1).	D.4.15
	Substitute Decisions Act, 1992, S.O. 1992, c. 30, s. 36.	D.4.15
	Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. S. 26, s. 20.	D.4.16
(B)	<i>The Time from Which a Will "Speaks" with Respect to Property</i>	
	Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. S. 26, ss. 21, 22.	D.4.17
	<u>Goodland v. Burnett</u> (1885), 1 K. & J. 341; 69 E.R. 489.	D.4.17
	<u>Re Thompson</u> (1919), 45 O.L.R. 520	D.4.19
	<u>Re Sikes</u> [1927] 1 Ch. 365.	D.4.22
	<u>Re Rutherford</u> (1918), 42 O.L.R. 405.	D.4.23
	<u>Re Portal and Lamb</u> (1885), 30 Ch. D. 50.	D.4.24
	<u>Morrison v. Morrison</u> (1885), 10 O.R. 303.	D.4.27
	<u>Re Forbes</u> (1956), 3 D.L.R. (2d) 653	D.4.31
	<u>Re Bird</u> [1942] O.R. 415	D.4.33
(C)	<i>The Possible Effect of the Equitable Doctrine of Conversion</i>	
	<u>Church v. Hill</u> [1923] S.C.R. 642	D.4.36
	<u>Hicks V. McClure</u> (1922), 64, S.C.R. 361	D.4.39
	Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. S. 26, s. 20.	D.4.40
(D)	<i>Is the Property Really Gone, or Just Disguised?</i>	
	<u>Re Slater</u> [1907] 1 Ch. 605.	D.4.41
	<u>Re Clifford</u> [1912] 1 Ch. 29.	D.4.45
	<u>Re Brems</u> [1963] 1 O.R. 122.	D.4.47
	<u>Re Cudeck</u> (1977), 16 O.R. (2d) 337 (C.A.)	D.4.48



## Part 5: Some Problems Arising as Change Affects Beneficiaries

### (A) *Where a Beneficiary Named in the Will Predeceases the Testator: The Doctrine of Lapse*

Note on the Doctrine of Lapse	D.5.1
<u>Re Ladd</u> [1932] 2 Ch.219	D.5.5
<u>Re Mackie</u> (1986), 54 O.R. (2d) 784	D.5.8
<u>Re Greenwood</u> [1912] 1 Ch.392	D.5.11
<u>Re Cousen's Will Trusts</u> [1937] 1 Ch.381	D.5.13
Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.C.34, s.13	D.5.18
<u>Re Gamble</u> (1906), 13 O.L.R. 299	D.5.18
Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.S.26, s.31	D.5.19
<u>Doucette v. Fedoruk Estate</u> (1993), 49 E.T.R. 199	D.5.20

### (B) *Commorientes Legislation*

Succession Law Reform Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.S.26, ss.55,56	D.5.23
Insurance Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.I.8, ss.215, 194	D.5.24
Insurance Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.I.8, ss.319, 314(1), 314(2)	D.5.24

### (C) *Class Gifts*

(i) General	
Note: Gifts to Classes	D.5.25
(ii) Is the Gift a "Class Gift"?	
<u>Kingsbury v. Walter</u> [1901] A.C. 187	D.5.27
<u>Re Jackson</u> (1880), 25 Ch.D.162	D.5.31
<u>Re Telfer</u> [1964] 1 O.R. 373	D.5.34
<u>Re Hutton</u> (1983), 39 O.R. (2d) 622	D.5.35
<u>Re Burgess</u> (1968), 67 D.L.R. (2d) 526	D.5.38
(iii) Determining the Membership of the Class: the "Class-Closing Rules"	
<u>Re Chartres</u> [1927] 1 Ch. 466	D.5.43
<u>Re Bleckly</u> [1951] 1 Ch. 740	D.5.48
<u>Latta v. Lowry</u> (1886), 11 O.R. 517	D.5.54

<u>Re Clifford's Settlement Trusts</u> [1981] 1 Ch. 63.	D.5.55
<u>Rogers v. Mutch</u> (1878), 10 Ch.D.25	D.5.58
The Perpetuities Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.9,s.7	D.5.59
The Trustee Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.T.23, s.65	D.5.60